



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,754	08/26/2003	Christopher Scott Forbes		2293
759	90 09/19/2005		EXAM	INER
Mr. Christophe	er S. Forbes	•	STAICOVIC	I, STEFAN
5215 96th Street	t E. #18			
Tacoma, WA	98446		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/19/2004	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

OCT 1 4 2000

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	f 1		/ /
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/647,754	FORBES, CHRISTOPHER SCOTT
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Stefan Staicovici	1732
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN ITS IN I	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	<u>ecember 2003</u> .	
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 8/26/2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
· —	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	,
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/647,754

Art Unit: 1732

Page 2

**DETAILED ACTION** 

**Specification** 

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should not refer to

purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the

invention with the prior art. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 5, lines 12,

the limitations of claim 1 should be included in the specification or the reference to claim 1

should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-2 are objected to because of the following informalities: a claim should be a

single phrase beginning with a capital letter and ending with a period. Appropriate correction is

required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Art Unit: 1732

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the first layer" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the depressions and details" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon et al. (US Patent No. 5,013,278) in view of Jarboe et al. (US Patent No. 4,960,622).

Dixon et al. ('278) teach the basic claimed process for making three-dimensional features for a diorama by molding a latex material (see col. 3, lines 25-26).

Regarding claims 1-2, although Dixon et al. ('278) teach latex molding, Dixon et al. ('278) do not specifically teach the individual molding process steps. Jarboe et al. ('622) teach a process for molding an impression of a rock including, applying a first layer of uncured latex onto a mold (rock), applying a fabric (gauze) onto said first uncured latex layer, applying a second layer of uncured latex onto said fabric layer, curing said latex material and stripping said rock impression from said mold (see Abstract). It is submitted that the uncured latex material seeps through the fabric in order to form a composite. Therefore, it would have been obvious for

Application/Control Number: 10/647,754

Art Unit: 1732

one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the process of Jarboe et al. ('622) to mold the three-dimensional features for the diorama obtained by the process of Dixon et al. ('278) because, Jarboe et al. ('622) specifically teach an efficient molding process for molding a latex diorama, whereas Dixon et al. ('278) suggests known molding methods of a diorama, hence requiring the teachings of Jarboe et al. ('622) to function as described.

## Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefan Staicovici, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1732

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stefan Staicovici, PhD

**Primary Examiner** 

AU 1732

September 14, 2005

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/647,754 Examiner Stefan Staicovici Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination FORBES, CHRISTOPHER SCOT Art Unit Page 1 of 1

### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-4960622	10-1990	Jarboe et al.	428/15
	В	US-5013278	05-1991	Dixon et al.	446/73
	O	US-6110050	08-2000	Tammera	472/71
	D	US-6362302 B1	03-2002	Boddie	528/73
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
۲	J	US-			
	К	US-			
•	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Ν					
	0					
	Р					
	α					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	W	
	x	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.



IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

P.O. BOX 1450

Organization ICIOU Bldg./Room U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Organization\_

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

AN EQUAL OPPORT

9844 RETURN FORB215

NO FORWARD UNABLE RETURN